In the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals

Samoss v. Martin O'Malley, Commissioner of Social Security HA-16-12-24

In re: Disabled Child Benefit 24MD977K02345-C1

By Anthony J. Sanders

This case is appealed from the exclusive jurisdiction of the United States District Court for Oregon after the initial filing of *Sanders v. Samoss* in the Oregon Supreme HA-2-8-24 resulted in the deaths of three retired judges of the International Court of Justice in August so that one might live to 100 this autumn under 18USC§2338. Having found the 2024 Jackson County Voter Pamphlet in violation of *Trump v. Anderson* 601 US__ (2024) the most recent interstate news is that the Ashland Daily Tidings website, closed since 2017, was subsequently staffed using false names for the reporters. After being tortured by the United Nations World Data Forum the cause of death, initially presumed to be the unwashable cardiotoxin leaking from my alma mater, is believed to be the Attorney General Garland trafficked methicillin resistant *Staphylocccus aureus* (MRSA) shooting pen, treated with doxycycline or saline bath and Hawthorn pill, if congestive heart failure, usually from working more than the four hour a day limit for sustainable calculus, is infected. Today, the Federal Appeals Court is inclusively sought, to check the paper copies of the United States Code in their law libraries, regarding the prejudice with which the Social Security Administration (SSA) wishes the Senate to repeal all of Simultaneous Entitlement to Benefits Sec. 202(k) of the Social Security Act 42USC§402(k)(text) in addition to the passage of the Social Security Fairness Act of 2023 HR 82 that passed the House on November 12, 2024, and is set to be voted on this week S. 597, proposing to only repeal the Windfall Elimination Provision (WEP) and Government Pension Office (GPO) in Sec. 202(k)(5) of the Social Security Act 42USC402(k)(5).

Statute

Depositaries failing to safeguard deposits 18USC§650
Enforcing discretionary spending limits 2USC§901
Laundering of monetary instruments 18USC§1956 and §1961
Old Age and Survivor Insurance Benefit Payments Sec. 202 of the Social Security Act 42USC§402
Social Security Fairness Act of 2023 HR 82
Theft and bribery of Federal funds 18USC§666
Theft by bank examiner 18USC§655
Theft, embezzlement, or misapplication by bank officer or employee 18USC§656
Torture 18USC§2340A

Cases

Armed Activities on the Territory of the Congo (Democratic Republic of the Congo v. *Uganda)* 1999-2022
Joint Application Instituting Proceedings Concerning a Dispute under the Convention against Torture and other Cruel, Inhuman and Degrading Treatment or Punishment (Canada and the Kingdom of the Netherlands v. The Syrian Arab Republic) 8 June 2023.
Sanders v. Samoss in the Oregon Supreme HA-2-8-24
Trump v. Anderson 601 US__ (2024)

Treaties

Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (1987)

Dear Tom Klouda, Deputy Commissioner of SSA:

There are several fake news articles circulating about SSA terminating the benefits of a list of retirees, but fake news, everyone knows cannot be believed, and in sooth, is barely a sin in comparison with fake law, judges rob and slave for. The paranoid theory is that the tampering with consumer products conspiracy between the Commissioner of Social Security from Maryland and Speaker of the House from Louisiana has resulted in the honest services frauds in the House trying to repeal (k)(5) whilst forging a new dead beat (k)(2)(A) in all the updated, public and private, versions of the United States Code and Social Security Act. To treat errors of the tyrannical bipartisan white majority, on a rational basis, Commissioner of Social Security O'Malley must be charged with tampering with the 2024 Annual Report of the Supplemental Security Income Program to conceal the total cost of the SSI program, including administration, from the Treasury, by omitting the table that usually does the addition, in order to finance his administration by stealing benefits 18USC§666. The total has been corrected in the United States Budget 2017-2025 however to prevent a very evil thief from fatally corrupting any more Bernie Sanders (D-VT), it is necessary that Tom Klouda, long-time Deputy Commissioner, responsible for the Annual Report of the SSI Program, be required to include a table adding up the total cost of scheduled benefits and administrative costs to the General Fund, because enforcing discretionary spending limits 2USC§901(b)(2)(C) does not pay, and the entire section needs to be repealed, so torturing Speakers, failing to add up Cabinet agency budget requests because they have enslaved Congress to annually updating nonsensical discretionary funding laws, that don't add-up to total spending, will not threaten to shutdown a government insured against this crime by the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2019, Pub. L. 116–5, § 103, Jan. 25, 2019, 133 Stat. 11.) at 31USC§1341(c).

Obesity of the Managing Trustee, Treasury accounting aside, a fake public and private online historical record of most popular baby name problem with the Biden SSA is hacking the online United States Code and underlying Statutes at Large, as an ungainly accessory to the civil trial of laundering of monetary instruments 18USC§1956 and §1961. For instance, when the Bureau of Fiscal Service Authorized Direct Express and Netspend (DEN) of Inequity, in Austin, Texas, theft by bank examiner 18USC§655 my life savings while in Washington DC on September 24, 2021, Social Security Matters blog was boycotted after the 'alteration' they were accused of, was deleted from Theft, embezzlement, or misapplication by bank officer or employee 18USC§656 and Statute at Large, resulting in the embezzlement conviction of Direct Express, Netspend and Treasury Secretary Yellen, for not disbursing the first bona fide deposit insurance benefit in the 90 year history of the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF) aka FDIC, in response to Depositaries failing to safeguard deposits 18USC§650 noted by SSA in 21T2379J53688-HA.

Most notably, the success/failure, of the Biden election fraud, “protecting unconventional weapons missions”, is thought to be based upon his, and only his, un-redressed hacking of Torture statute in 2009, right before he stole Obama's nuclear code and nuked Haiti in January 2010, killing 220,000, the highest single day casualty count in history. It is very disturbing that Congress does not amend torture statute, whether it was hacked by passing politician, or computer crime, that is actually suspected of outranking constitutional governance of the United States, much like the humble FBI informant outranks the Attorney General whose email they torture. It is hoped that Biden's retirement will relieve the United States Code of this Cheney rivaling pathological rule of lie, for which the US rule of law is generally forfeit to the United Nations and victim(s) under Arts. 2, 4 and 14 of the Convention against

Torture (1987). The phrase 'outside the United States' must be stricken from 18USC§2340A(a) and Exclusive Remedies at §2340B to include Americans in the. The legal system shall ensure that the victim of an act of torture obtains redress and has an enforceable right to fair and adequate compensation, including the means for as full rehabilitation as possible. In the event of the death of the victim as a result of an act of torture, their dependents shall be entitled to compensation pursuant to Art. 14 of the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (1987), *Armed Activities on the Territory of the Congo (Democratic Republic of the Congo* v. *Uganda)* 1999-2022 and *Joint Application Instituting Proceedings Concerning a Dispute under the Convention against Torture and other Cruel, Inhuman and Degrading Treatment or Punishment (Canada and the Kingdom of the Netherlands v. The Syrian Arab Republic)* 8 June 2023.

In this case the Court is hoped to use their law library to check possible computer hacking of the online code pertaining to self-negating Simultaneous Entitlement to Benefits Sec. 202(k) of the Social Security Act 42USC§402(k). Subsection (k)(2)(A) & (B) are suspected of being the product of computer crime because the law does not pass. To salvage (k) is minimally necessary to repeal ', subject to the provisions of paragraph (2) hereof,' from (k)(1) for dead beat baby boomers to stop poisoning the concept of 'entitlement' in their dime store auto-biographies, and repeal the rest of subsection (k). Simultaneous Entitlement to Benefits (k) would read, without any dead beat conflict with the concept of entitlement: 'A child, entitled to child’s insurance benefits on the basis of the wages and self-employment income of an insured individual, who would be entitled, on filing application, to child’s insurance benefits on the basis of the wages and self-employment income of some other insured individual, shall be deemed entitled to child’s insurance benefits on the basis of the wages and self-employment income of such other individual if an application for child’s insurance benefits on the basis of the wages and self-employment income of such other individual has been filed by any other child who would, on filing application, be entitled to child’s insurance benefits on the basis of the wages and self-employment income of both such insured individuals.'

To shorten the Social Security Act, the rest of (k) must be repealed because it pathologically denies the concept of entitlement, as an un-opinionated matter of theft and bribery of Federal funds by published, rich, dead-beat, American, Bolshevic, Jewish propaganda for famine sold in bookstores. (k)(2)(A) & (B) need to be repealed because they, by corrupt Congress, or computer hacking, have prohibited people from receiving more than one disabled adult child benefit. SSA has long been searching for the propaganda sustaining the un-redressable, theft of secondary and tertiary benefits. What has probably happened is that the judicial, or Secretary of Defense, thief used by my estranged Jewish mother's disabled adult child (DAC) benefit claim, has finally inserted the child dead beat propaganda, into the propaganda, my mom used to reduce my grandmother's teacher retirement from $1,000 to $666 month, she did not survive. These benefit thefts perpetuate the robbing, continuing disability review, especially financed by Congress, less kickbacks. These invariably, depraved and poisoned thefts add to the Public Fund the cost of congressionally financing Continuing Disability Review, and do not reduce costs to the Public Funds 18USC§666. Once again, Congress must cease to deny Simultaneous Entitlement to Benefits by repealing 'subject to the provisions of paragraph (2) hereof,' from Sec. 202(k)(1) of the Social Security Act 42USC202(k)(1) and repeal the rest of (k).

The full text of Simultaneous Entitlement to Benefits Sec. 202(k) of the Social Security Act 42USC§402 states:

(k)(1) A child, entitled to child’s insurance benefits on the basis of the wages and self-employment income of an insured individual, who would be entitled, on filing application, to child’s insurance benefits on the basis of the wages and self-employment income of some other insured individual, shall be deemed entitled ', subject to the provisions of paragraph (2) hereof,' to child's insurance benefits on the basis of the wages and self-employment income of such other individual if an application for child's insurance benefits on the basis of the wages and self-employment income of such other individual has been filed by any other child who would, on filing application, be entitled to child's insurance benefits on the basis of the wages and self-employment income of both such insured individuals.

(2)(A) Any child who under the preceding provisions of this section is entitled for any month to child's insurance benefits on the wages and self-employment income of more than one insured individual shall, notwithstanding such provisions, be entitled to only one of such child's insurance benefits for such month. Such child's insurance benefits for such month shall be the benefit based on the wages and self-employment income of the insured individual who has the greatest primary insurance amount, except that such child's insurance benefits for such month shall be the largest benefit to which such child could be entitled under subsection (d) (without the application of section 203(a)) or subsection (m) if entitlement to such benefit would not, with respect to any person, result in a benefit lower (after the application of section 203(a)) than the benefit which would be applicable if such child were entitled on the wages and self-employment income of the individual with the greatest primary insurance amount. Where more than one child is entitled to child's insurance benefits pursuant to the preceding provisions of this paragraph, each such child who is entitled on the wages and self-employment income of the same insured individuals shall be entitled on the wages and self-employment income of the same such insured individual.

(B) Any individual (other than an individual to whom subsection (e)(3) or (f)(3) applies) who, under the preceding provisions of this section and under the provisions of section 223, is entitled for any month to more than one monthly insurance benefit (other than an old-age or disability insurance benefit) under this title shall be entitled to only one such monthly benefit for such month, such benefit to be the largest of the monthly benefits to which he (but for this subparagraph (B)) would otherwise be entitled for such month. Any individual who is entitled for any month to more than one widow's or widower's insurance benefit to which subsection (e)(3) or (f)(3) applies shall be entitled to only one such benefit for such month, such benefit to be the largest of such benefits.

(3)(A) If an individual is entitled to an old-age or disability insurance benefit for any month and to any other monthly insurance benefit for such month, such other insurance benefit for such month, after any reduction under subsection (q), subsection (e)(2) or (f)(2), and any reduction under section 203(a), shall be reduced, but not below zero, by an amount equal to such old-age or disability insurance benefit (after reduction under such subsection (q)).

(B) If an individual is entitled for any month to a widow's or widower's insurance benefit to which subsection (e)(3) or (f)(3) applies and to any other monthly insurance benefit under section 202 (other than an old-age insurance benefit), such other insurance benefit for such month, after any reduction under subparagraph (A), any reduction under subsection (q), and any reduction under section 203(a), shall be reduced, but not below zero, by an amount equal to such widow's or widower's insurance benefit after any reduction or reductions under such subparagraph (A) and such section 203(a).

(4) Any individual who, under this section and section 223, is entitled for any month to both an old-age insurance benefit and a disability insurance benefit under this title shall be entitled to only the larger of such benefits for such month, except that, if such individual so elects, he shall instead be entitled to only the smaller of such benefits for such month.

(5)(A) The amount of a monthly insurance benefit of any individual for each month under subsection (b), (c), (e), (f), or (g) (as determined after application of the provisions of subsection (q) and the preceding provisions of this subsection) shall be reduced (but not below zero) by an amount equal to two-thirds of the amount of any monthly periodic benefit payable to such individual for such month which is based upon such individual's earnings while in the service of the Federal Government or any

State (or political subdivision thereof, as defined in section 218(b)(2)) if, during any portion of the last 60 months of such service ending with the last day such individual was employed by such entity—
(I) such service did not constitute "employment" as defined in section 210, or
(ii) such service was being performed while in the service of the Federal Government, and constituted "employment" as so defined solely by reason of—
(I) clause (ii) or (iii) of subparagraph (G) of section 210(a)(5), where the lump-sum payment described in such clause (ii) or the cessation of coverage described in such clause (iii) (whichever is applicable) was received or occurred on or after January 1, 1988, or
(II) an election to become subject to the Federal Employees' Retirement System provided in chapter 84 of title 5, United States Code, or the Foreign Service Pension System provided in subchapter II of chapter 8 of title I of the Foreign Service Act of 1980 made pursuant to law after December 31, 1987, unless subparagraph (B) applies.
The amount of the reduction in any benefit under this subparagraph, if not a multiple of $0.10, shall be rounded to the next higher multiple of $0.10.
(B)(i) Subparagraph (A)(i) shall not apply with respect to monthly periodic benefits based wholly on service as a member of a uniformed service (as defined in section 210(m)).
(ii) Subparagraph (A)(ii) shall not apply with respect to monthly periodic benefits based in whole or in part on service which constituted "employment" as defined in section 210 if such service was performed for at least 60 months in the aggregate during the period beginning January 1, 1988, and ending with the close of the first calendar month as of the end of which such individual is eligible for benefits under this subsection and has made a valid application for such benefits.

(C) For purposes of this paragraph, any periodic benefit which otherwise meets the requirements of subparagraph (A), but which is paid on other than a monthly basis, shall be allocated on a basis equivalent to a monthly benefit (as determined by the Commissioner of Social Security) and such equivalent monthly benefit shall constitute a monthly periodic benefit for purposes of subparagraph (A). For purposes of this subparagraph, the term "periodic benefit" includes a benefit payable in a lump sum if it is a commutation of, or a substitute for, periodic payments.

In the Town of Anthony, Texas

RE: Sanders, Tony J. Secs. 180 and 550 of the Echinacea Recount Agenda of 2024. Hospitals & Asylums www.title24uscode.org/era24.pdf

Dear Mayor Anthony David Turner:

Does the Town of Anthony have any recollection of the Social Security Matters blog postings, or other baby name popularity sources, regarding Anthony being the most popular male baby name for many, many years?

My name is Anthony too. I was not born on leap year like occurs in your town, I was born exactly two years before my sister, at the height of the Perseid Meteor Shower, rarer than twins but not as unusual as being born on leap day. Until Biden stole the 2020 election, I was fairly proud of that fact, but my mom got divorced again and she, my sister, and the state of Oregon, tortured me for the last time, and I probably won't ever see any of them again, so I removed the birthday suit from the 23rd edition of the Constitution of Hospitals & Asylums Non-Government Economy (CHANGE.pdf)

To make an extremely messy accessory to Texas Department of Banking, robbery of my life savings by the Bureau of Fiscal Service authorized Direct Express and Netspend (DEN) of Inequity in Austin, Texas, as simple as possible, I just have the one question for you and the Town of Anthony, Texas, while I am in El Paso and go hiking in Franklin Mountain State Park, with your guidance.

My estranged niece Ellie, suddenly made the top three girl names, when Biden stole the elections in 2021. It was not only reported, in the negative space of Social Security Matters blog, that Anthony was no longer in the top three male baby names, but online search of the historical SSA and non-profit baby name popularity tables indicated that Anthony had never been the most male popular baby name. Does the Town of Anthony have a professional opinion, and/or evidence on the topic of Anthony having been, and maybe being, or never being, the most popular male baby name in the United States, to report to the nation's first Social Security Office in Texas.

Sec. 180 of the Echinacea Recount Agenda (ERA) of 2024 provides,

(a) There is reason to believe that in 2021 Acting Commissioner of Social Security, Kilolo Kijakazi and/or her non-profit related Attorney General underwriting her somewhat bloody, red handed coup, altered the historical and current list of most popular baby names in both the Social Security Administration and non-profit online databases pertaining to most popular baby name. The motive – discriminate against Anthony, Public Trustee Applicant – whose only kindness every received from the Social Security Administration, other than a life of tax-free social security benefits, was a decade of receiving notices from Social Security Matters blog that Anthony was the most popular baby name. Now the official online SSA and non-profit records do not even list Anthony in the top 10 for many decades. Popular name data come from applications for Social Security cards. SSA must ensure they have not internalized a name based identity fraud that might be used to stuff future ballots and slightly compensate for the many people whose Social Security accounts have been blocked.

(1) The Board of Trustees has admitted that the 2020 payroll tax was overestimated and returned $36 billion in payroll taxes in 2022 to defray some of the $200 billion cost of the overestimates, paid for at the request of said, Public Trustee applicant. Taking into consideration the numerous property crimes against Anthony by Kilolo Kijakazi, and/or her President/Attorney General coup supporters, it is not so far-fetched that, to be cruel, the Biden Administration engaged in a historic revision of most popular baby name records, known as cultural erasure in the fine print of the Application of the International Convention for the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism and of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (Ukraine v. Russian Federation) 2017, in order to create a prospective list of fake identities with which to stuff the ballot, after using 2020 Census data to stuff the ballot with the identities of non-voters.

(b) Although most popular baby name may seem like somewhat of a frivolous issue to recount, SSA researchers must not neglect to conduct an official investigation, to compare their hidden paper records and computer records, with the current most popular baby names, solicited online. SSA must verify the truth, or lack thereof, regarding the most popular baby names record.

(1) Researchers are also highly encouraged to pursue the quite possibly fictitious, underlying causes of the disappointingly low birth rates, that have been declining from a since 2009 and of a dead beat nation of “post-nuclear families” out to prove the tyrannical majority of Baby Boomers never die or are outnumbered by new generations, in continuance of the moral injury caused by the Clinton rape murder, bipartisan theft of 10 million child welfare benefits 1996-2000, from their bipartisan bastard children, in the year of the Comprehensive Nuclear Test Ban Treaty and Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Act of 1996. There is sufficient original jurisdiction engaged in the murdering of children, not to mention Clinton lovers, to suspect widespread culturally erasure of the exact statistical significance of direct Social Security payments to low-income families with children.

(2) For some time Social Security Online seems to have systemically blocked the accounts of Social Security Matters bloggers, and possibly other children, workers, disability beneficiaries and especially public trustee applicants. This blocking of bloggers may result in a statistically and/or fiscally significant underestimate, especially pertaining to the declining disability beneficiary population, births, child and total population, whereby the population and especially the number of beneficiaries in current payment status, and total payments, could be higher than estimated by Social Security online account sum function.

(c) SSA includes bloodline citizenship applications from births abroad by US parents, but does not include births to foreign nationals in the United States who do not apply for a social security number, a statistic most vulnerable to manipulation, not to mention a possible rise in stateless non-issuance of birth certificates, needed to get a social security number, due to "non-payment of the obstetric bill". SSA reports the Number of Social Security card holders born in the U.S. by year of birth and sex. An undercount is suspected since total births in the United States reached a high of 4.3 million births in 2007 and then began declining, with a slight recovery to nearly 4 million in 2014. Births in the U.S. (1) 4,262,598 in 2008; (2) 4,145,291 in 2009; (3) 4,014,330 in 2010; (4) 3,969,233 in 2011; (5) 3,967,651 in 2012; (6) 3,967,168 in 2013; (7) 4,004,233 in 2014; (8) 3,993,784 in 2015; (9) 3,959,768 in 2016; (10) 3,866,212 in 2017; (11) 3,800,876 in 2018; (12) 3,753,458 in 2019; (13) 3,613,280 in 2020; (14) 3,659,900 in 2021; (15) 3,648,019 in 2022.

(A) For the sake of comparison, the National Vital Statistics System (NVSS), reported, in the United States, State laws require birth certificates to be completed for all births, and Federal law mandates national collection and publication of births and other vital statistics data. For the same period, natality in the U.S. was (1) 4,247,694 in 2008; (2) 4,130,665 in 2009; (3) 3,999,386 in 2010; (4) 3,953,590 in 2011; (5) 3,952,841 in 2012; (6) 3,932,181 in 2013; (7) 3,988,076 in 2014; (8) 3,978,497 in 2015; (9) 3,945,875 in 2016; (10) 3,855,500 in 2017; (11) 3,791,712 in 2018; (12) 3,747,540 in 2019; (13) 3,613,647 in 2020; (14) 3,664,292 in 2021; (15) 3,667,758 in 2022.

(d) Between 2008-2019 there was 0.4 percent more birth declared by SSA than NVSS, however for the most part SSA and NVSS agree that a slight, but statistically more or less than 4 million births, decline in birth began after 2008, and then births began to precipitously decline after 2016 to a rate that is about 14 percent less in 2022 than 2008, without any reinvention of birth control to justify such a statistically significant decline in a population statistic that would usually be expected to increase at nearly 1 percent. Citizenship is not a question for either SSA or NVSS. NVSS totals claim to include all births within the 50 states and District of Columbia. Since 2020 NVSS has reported slightly more births than SSA, 367 more births in 2020, increasing to 4,392 more in 2021 and 19,739 more in 2022, a statistically significant 0.5 percent more, in 2022. NVSS reports there was a 1 percent increase in births in 2021 and in 2022 zero growth. If these statistics are to be taken exactly, this most recent paradigm shift in more births within the United States than applications for social security number, could be explained by the combined result on fertility of more liberal immigration than emigration policies and mostly state abortion bans.

(1) To sustain the undercount theory the most likely reasons for non-registration of births in the United States would be, (A) discrimination against the issuance of birth certificates to children born in the United States to foreign parents, due to discrimination by Obama's Birther Movement, to explain the slight decrease in births since 2008, and (B) a general increase in statelessness from birth of both those children born to foreign and poor parents due to a practice of discriminatory non-reporting of births on an individual, or state level; almost certainly due to "non-payment of the obstetric bill", misprisioned

by Trump's xenophobia regarding birthright citizenship since 2017.

(e) Former President Trump’s administration attempted to restrict birthright citizenship in revolt against “birth tourism”. It is rumored in 2015, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) raided groups in Southern California that charged Chinese women up to $60,000, promising to help with their visas, travel, and lodging at maternity hotels, allegedly “exclusively so their children could become citizens” that is denied by the American Immigration Council. In the United States, birthright citizenship is a legal right to citizenship for all children born in United States territory, regardless of parentage, under the 14th Amendment to the US Constitution (1868). Birthright citizenship was defended in United States v. Wong Kim Ark, 169 U.S. 649 (1898) that overturned what is widely considered one of the worst decisions by a Court that determined that African Americans, even though born on United States territory for generations, were not entitled to citizenship by Dred Scott v. Sandford, 60 U.S. 393 (1857).

(1) Citizenship acquired from birth can occur in one of two ways: (1) when a person is born within United States territory, also known as jus soli (the law of the soil) or (2) when one or both of an individual’s parents are United States citizens, thus the parents convey citizenship unto their child, also known as jus sanguinis (the law of the bloodline). International law generally leaves to each State the authority to determine who qualifies as a citizen. Usually a person is entitled to the nationality of their parents pursuant to the Rights of Non-Citizens (2006). Only 33 countries currently permit birthright citizenship. Nearly every nation that offers birthright citizenship is located in North or South America.

(2) A Contracting State shall grant its nationality to a person born in its territory who would otherwise be stateless under Art. 1(1) of the Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness (1975). Every person has the right to the nationality of the state in whose territory he was born if he does not have the right to any other nationality under Art. 20(2) of the American Convention (1969). The child shall be registered immediately after birth and shall have the right from birth to a name, the right to acquire a nationality under Art. 7 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child (1990).

(f) In 2018, the American Bar (Murdaugh, pronounced mur-doc) Association reported; On any given day, according to the U.S. Census Bureau, an estimated 10,800 babies are born in the United States, or one birth every eight seconds. Most, if not all, of them will be issued birth certificates. A birth certificate is a document issued by a government that records the birth of a child for vital statistics, tax, military, and census purposes. The birth certificate is among the first legal documents an individual might acquire. In the United States, birth certificates serve as proof of an individual’s age, citizenship status, and identity. They are necessary to obtain a social security number, apply for a passport, enroll in schools, get a driver’s license, gain employment, or apply for other benefits. In the United States, there is no national (federal) birth registry. The United States began collecting birth data at the national level in 1902, via the U.S. Census. In 1946, responsibility for collecting and publishing vital statistics at the national level shifted from the Census Bureau to the national Office of Vital Statistics, which is now the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS). Today, the NCHS is part of the Centers for Disease Control, which is part of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

(1) Birth certificates in the United States generally consist of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth application form, which states use to collect the data to issue a formal birth certificate, and the birth certificate document that states issue to individuals. Currently, the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth looks like an application, with boxes asking for specific pieces of information. The entire form is two pages long, and consists of 58 questions. The Standard Certificate of Live Birth must also be certified by a medical professional who was present at the birth or performed an examination.

Typically, the Standard Certificate of Live Birth is completed by the parents of the child, then certified by a medical professional, and submitted to the state, county, or municipality, which will issue the final birth certificate document back to the individual. The problem most likely to be undermining the national counting of Standard Certificates of Live Birth, especially since 2017, when the misprision regarding birthright citizenship began, is that due to skyrocketing obstetric prices, consequential non-payment of obstetric bills and increase in un-medically supervised home-births, a medical doctor is not signing off on a birth certificate for every child. Therefore it is necessary for the Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control, National Center for Health Statistics issue a memorandum requiring that a Standard Certificate of Live Birth be filed and first certificate issued, at no cost, for every, real, flesh and blood, finger-printed, child born in the United States, regardless of the nationality of the parents, ability of the parents to pay the obstetric bill, signature or non-signature of a medical doctor.

While I have a possibly representative person on the line, who is a resident of Texas with the right to get robbed by the Texas Department of Banking, without ill-effect, but alas not to receive bona fide FDIC deposit insurance benefits from the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF), because the FDIC only engages in hostile takeovers, with solvent banks falsely accused, and (e)mail thefted, and have never in their 90 year history paid a single deposit insurance benefit. It is a travesty the obese Treasury Secretary is on trial for her $10,000 "shell" company allergy in a Texas Federal Court and not to pay me the first ever DIF deposit insurance benefit for the last time she infringed on $10,000 bank balances, while thousands of people reported their accounts blocked by Netspend. Sec. 550 of the ERA of 2024 provides:

(a) The Treasury is obligated to protect the Government and individuals from fraud and loss, that apply to anyone who may receive for the Government, Treasury notes, United States notes, or other Government securities; or be engaged or employed in preparing and issuing those notes or securities under 31USC§321(a)(5). Despite the tortious negligence of the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Development, and moral bankruptcy of the FDIC, who has not paid an individual deposit insurance benefit in their 90 year misprision of felony, failing profitable financial institutions and secretly forcing truly bankrupt institutions to embezzle with promises of impunity by the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council Authentication and Access to Financial Institution Services and Systems. Arlington, Virginia of August 11, 2021, three days before President Biden became disqualified to hold federal office, when the Hobbes Act made it apparent he nuked the Enriquillo Plantain Garden Fault, for a second civil trial of money laundering 18USC§1956, §655.

(1) The Treasury remains obligated to ensure the repayment of both thousands of insured depositors and million short-changed 'not a gift card' purchasers embezzled by the 2014 and 2020 reauthorized Bureau of Fiscal Service monopoly grant to the Direct Express and Netspend (DEN) of inequity in Austin, Texas of fall 2021, and other DIF neglected embezzlements, with 6 percent annual interest from date of theft 12USC§1822(e).

(A) The United States may fine the DEN of inequity up $200 million against Direct Express under the Sherman Anti-Trust Act to reimburse the DIF for the genuine insured losses paid by the Treasury, pertaining the Netspend bankruptcy embezzlement. The Treasury must pay their first ever DIF deposit insurance benefits, using embezzled depositor social security and tax information from bank records held by the Texas Department of Banking, to avoid the incessant Kennedy assassination re-enactment retaliation against legal correspondence in Texas, while making whole the Obligation of Beneficiary's Bank to Pay and Give Notice to Beneficiary in the Uniform Commercial Code 4A-404 with six percent interest, despite Rodriguez, as Chapter 7 Trustee for the Bankruptcy Estate of Western Bancorp Inc. v.

FDIC as receiver for United Western Bank 589__(2020).

(B) Due process must be given to (i) prohibiting the Direct Express monopoly on federal direct deposits and (ii) prohibiting the retail of Netspend 'not a gift cards'. In this case, the Treasury is obligated to pay the applicant Public Trustee between $20,384 September 24, 2023, plus 6 percent interest, to the $250,000 insured deposit of 2021, promised when the FDIC did not pay any insured losses, to resolve the first ever Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF) Treasury payment to an individual 12USC§1821 - SSA BNC # 21T2379J53688-HA

(2) The Treasury is also obligated to pay 6 percent interest to (A) Insure compensation for the FBI robbery of US Private Vaults in spring of 2021; and (B) Investigate the disposition of the FBI wire fraud that prevented disabled workers and veterans in Washington DC from receiving their direct deposits in November 2021, when the President passed the penniless and moot Bipartisan Infrastructure Bill on the same day he dosed Vladimir Putin on November 10, 2021. The Vice-President, under the influence of the Chairman of the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs, was subsequently thrown out of the Ohio General Assembly due to rampage shooting associated severe mental illness, trying to mass produce in a genuine State, other than Washington DC, the switching of desperately needed Direct Express deposit card with a school rampage shooting tainted student loan forgiveness notice in the mails, resulting in her embezzlement accessory conviction 18USC§1708.

Ohio won the vice-Presidential election, but after two years they certainly can't open an ABLE account, and I am going to cash in my free, New Mexico state ID, for a $10 notary. Yellen's "shell company allergy" seems to want to be included in this junk list. The compelling interest is Vietnam and Texas need to abolish the death penalty, and free prisoner(s). The question for the US is FINCEN a Bolshevic Jewish terrorist organization that needs to be abolished like the FBI, DEA and ONDCP, in light of the super-huge revenue and expenditure overvaluation? The 2020 payroll tax was noted as being peculiar by the Board of Trustees and posted bond in the form of revenue return to disavow, at my request for a $150 billion loss from General Fund Balance, I calculate, because the Social Security Trusts are better accounted for. The possibly computer hacking shell corporation case was removed from the world's first United Nations Atlas, 2024, just released with correct, high Vietnamese GDP Purchasing Power Parity, that must be as hard to sell as it is easy to buy, and includes links to updated United States Budget 2017-2025, if you're interested in who Anthony holds in Trust by clicking the Welcome or Atlas links.

The best DIF case is at www.title24uscode.org/fdi.pdf

Thanks for reading this rubbish. Once again, does the Town of Anthony, Texas have any evidence that Anthony has ever been the most popular male baby name in the United States?

Wash dry nose,

Anthony J. Sanders
Hospitals & Asylums
ha@title24uscode.org

In the Oregon Supreme Court c/o US District Court for Oregon

Sanders v. Samoss: Disabled Child Benefit 24MD977K02345-C1 HA-2-8-24

By Anthony J. Sanders
Public Trustee applicant

The Court may vote to protect the assignment to the beneficiary whose federal budget is done under Sec. 202(d)(1), Sec. 207 and 216(e) of the Social Security Act 42USC§202, §207 and §216, or split the back pay with a lawyer of their superior criminal responsibility, in this social security case for the being the site of permanently disabling exposure to dimethoxymethamphetamine (DOM) manufactured in "the federal amphetamine manufacturing facility in Oregon" now prohibited 18USC§2338.

The Court may vote to pay all to Anthony J. Sanders or misappropriate the lesser of 25 percent of the past-due benefits or a maximum amount (currently $6,000) adjustable by the Commissioner (Speaker Johnson and new Commissioner from Maryland highly suspect in degrading conspiracy tampering with consumer products 18USC§1365) at his or her discretion (2USC§901 repeal to prohibit arson, robbery, FBI health care fraud and abuse, government shutdown advocacy, all terrorism against civilian population 18USC§2331?) to legal fee under Sec. 206 of the Social Security Act 42USC§406, *Scarborough v.* ***Anthony J.*** *Principi, Secretary of Veteran's Affairs* No. 02-1657 (2004) sleep paralysis, *Shinseki v.* ***Sanders*** 556US396 (2009) inverted eyelash and *Astrue, Commissioner of Social Security v. Ratliff* No. 08-1322 (2010) heart attack, not to mention the benign essential blepharospasm (eyelid twitch) associated with Anthony J. Blinken, Secretary of State, the most murderous official Jew, lawyer in the world, wrongful boycotter of the Chinese Olympics to celebrate the release of un-convicted Uighur, etc.

FKT the Olympics *Thu-Hiking v. Sanders, Tony J.* HA-30-5-24. Oregon Supreme Court must hold the United States Supreme Court responsible for torture although intoxicated by a box of ephedra contaminated briefs regarding *Grants Pass v. Johnson et al* 603 US (2024) as overruled by Arts. 2, 4 and 14 of the Convention against Torture, Cruel, Inhuman and Degrading Punishment or Treatment (1987) at $5,000 (2024) UN Compensation, plus 3 percent inflation, per homeless, and fired from Secretary of State, Transportation, and Treasury, and Fed Chairman, by President Kamala Harris to acquit herself of one count of robbing the issuer 31USC§321. The Court may also vote to require use of the maiden name on divorce filings, to facilitate name change and prevent mom-b 18USC§1028A.

Original Work

Sanders, Tony J. Almeda Fire Adultery. Oregon Supreme Court. Hospitals & Asylums HA-19-2-21

T v. Sanders, Tony J. applicant Public Trustee. In re: Grants Pass v. Johnson (2024). Hospitals & Asylums HA-16-5-24, HA-30-5-24

United States Budget for Fiscal Years 2017-25 Act HA-15-7-24

United States Budget Tables FY 17 – 25

Cases

Astrue v. Ratliff No. 08-1322 (2010)

Bruker v. Marcovitz 2007 SCC 54

Grants Pass v. Johnson et al 603 US (2024)

Mathews v. DeCastro 429 US 181 (1976)

R v. Kruk 2024 SCC 7

Scarborough v. Principi No. 02-1657 (2004)

Shinseki v. Sanders 556US396 (2009)

Code

Aggravated Identity Theft 18USC§1028A

Assignment Sec. 207 of the Social Security Act 42USC§407
Enforcement of Discretionary Spending Limits 2USC§901

General Powers of the Secretary 31USC§321

Old Age and Survivor Insurance Benefit Payments Sec. 202 and 216(e) of the Social Security Act 42USC§402

Other Definitions Sec. 216 of the Social Security Act 42USC§416

Overpayments and Underpayments Sec. 204 of the Social Security Act 42USC§404

Representation Sec. 206 of the Social Security Act 42USC§406

Tampering with consumer products 18USC§1365

Treaty

Convention against Torture, Cruel, Inhuman and Degrading Punishment or Treatment (1987)

Dear pleasantly intoxicated to moderately hungover Clerk:

We, my father and I are US citizens born in the Netherlands. Our Disabled Adult Child (DAC) benefit works. We use the same mailing address because I use my disability/retirement to live at large in the United States, far away from Dutch rents and my father's bona fide veterinary DEA license for barbiturates for euthanasia, girls, who are ill-advised to pay for a license for drugs they should not ever prescribe and/or procure biological weapons and fraudulent allegations from FBI Health Care Fraud intimidated medical providers.

The assignment of DAC benefits is protected by Sec. 207 of the Social Security Act 42USC407 whereas these benefits are legislated at 202(d)(1), and 216(e) of the Social Security Act 42USC§402

§416 pursuant to Mathews v. DeCastro 429 US 181 (1976).

Roberta did not go to Israel with her limited retirement money, as we "believed" in Thru-Hiking v. Sanders, Tony J. Hospitals & Asylums HA-16-5-24, HA-30-5-24 www.title24uscode.org/temp.pdf. Roberta is now believed to have stole my DAC benefit to build a home in Ashland, Oregon. The DAC benefit must be paid right, before Roberta is eligible for review, for a higher level of benefit from a social security administration, because she is, or perhaps in the future when she was an inhumanly treated medical doctor, who took a lot of time off because she thought she had Crohn's disease, and likes to spend a lot of time trying to indoctrinate her grandchildren with the Judaism that never really took in the children she neglected to ever celebrate the Sabbath with. Roberta is believed to have thrice been recruited to falsely testify to the social security system to steal from her family.

In summary it would seem this is Roberta's third such invitation to investigate who in the social security system she provides false testimony to, and if she gets paid, to provide false testimony to rob from her family in violation of the assignment of benefits under Sec. 207 of the Social Security Act 42USC§407. In her defense, she has not been twice convicted. The genocidal Roberts Court overruling of the Oregon Supreme Court in Grants Pass v. Johnson (2024) was indispensable to the commission of her third offense, and SSA should look into precisely who Roberta, family practitioner, has conspired with to steal social security benefits from her family. She, and other, mostly, medical doctors, are believed to unlawfully enrich themselves by providing slanderous testimony, to an unknown authority procuring torture from immediate family members whose loyalties and legal defenses are predictably confused by their state and federal licenses, possibly the Defense Secretary, to enforce fraudulent overpayment claims under Sec. 204 of the Social Security Act 42USC§404 in conspiracy with the OCD federal budgeting practice at 2USC§901, to be repealed, and burdensome discretionary funding, other than the ones provided in that section, that need to be repealed to specifically prevent terrorism against the civilian population, by legislating "three percent inflation thereafter" so they can add-up revenues and expenditures by Cabinet agencies and produce the Budget of the United States Actc www.title24uscode.org/fy25.pdf and tables /fy25.html

Why investigate my mom(b), when you can pay your applicant Public Trustee, Sanders, Tony J, the name, the maiden stole, enslaved, tortured, and biologically experimented with to invent the "one pill kill" antipsychotic drug in 1996, for a deadly the Cogentin antidote was withdrawn from the market, to sell defective Amantadine, from her first amphetamine intoxicated divorce? She and her sister refuse to change their last names to maiden name although I have begged them many times. They both got divorced, roughly the same year, their parents got divorced. My grandfather was diagnosed with cancer and divorced my grandmother, rather than clean out the improperly preserved food in their refrigerator and freezer, he sometimes fed me before he died.

Her/my first such victimization was in 2010 when it is now Roberta who is believed to have betrayed my SSI supplement in Oregon, that Senator Bernie Sanders proved himself to be a flake complaining about, but not compensating the victims, before returning to slay my grandmother, California, with rape-murderer Hillary Clinton during the 2016 Presidential election. I haven't paid rent since, and would be an urban homeless person, if I were not hiking of the United States.

Second, when my grandmother told us she wanted to file for more benefits to afford her SSI eligible African American the golf handicap the non-contributing disabled Canadian pro-football player, continues to deserve, Drs. Roberta and Asher betrayed my grandmother, and falsely called her "rich" to a secret social security hearing, that is suspected of paying the "rich" for their (un)professional testimony about their friends and family being "rich" in order to steal from both them and the social security system, that is unequally betrayed by the American use of the word "rich".

The third, of my two small federal claims, and grandmother's state underinsurance, whose neglect by SSA does not make me laugh, like when SSA and my father say my national accounting and Public Trustee application is "above my pay grade", is now before you, and is the only claim, besides my grandmother's caregiver's SSI golf disability before age 65, and Medicaid at 65 for DAC, ever likely to settle, without Kamala Harris, or her successor, inevitably paying me the $18k + 6% interest from September 24, 2021 or $250,000 first ever genuine deposit insurance fund (DIF) benefit for the Direct Express and Netspend of Inequity in Austin, Texas to confirm me (and Kilolo Kijakazi) Public Trustee and recognize the moral and material interests of author of their true federal budget, balance and GDP.

Roberta, my mother's true name, is a litigious American medical doctor, whose incredibly Jewish evidence of OCD, must be reviewed with some common sense pursuant to R v. Kruk 2024 SCC 7.

I haven't spoken to my mother since the laboratory confirmed my diagnosis that her career disabling Crohn's disease was long cured, and she became a crone, because doctors make the worst patients. I also showed her how to wash her hands after touching DOM amphetamine contaminated paper, but she continued to believe her ex-husband had robbed her, and froze their account, and ultimately is believed to have lawfully ripped him off, with the life-long chains of alimony rather than a clean 50/50 split between families, violently unrepresented by her lawyer, whose greed is the most of any profession since COVID humbled the physician and surgeon, all pronounced "Murdoc" as in Murdaugh Esq.

She won her second divorce from two bag meth projectile weapons, as a highly opposed (I and the Oregon Supreme Court offered an alternative divorce venue) inferior Oregon court without US postal service, appointed statin and cardiotoxin leak dealer from our alma mater, the University of Cincinnati, wanted by the United Kingdom for the murder of Queen Elizabeth II, International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia for the murder of Milosevic and Babic, and the SIMVASTIN Heat Study for manufacturing the permanent cardiotoxic, wash contaminating, dye, temporary treated by brain shrinking and infective, if not Pneumovaxed, statin drugs, Butler, Karyn; Huffman, Lynn; Kock, Sheryl. Coronary effluent from a preconditioned heart activates the JAK-STAT pathway and induces cardioprotection in a donor heart. Department of Surgery and Institute of Molecular Pharmacology and Biophysics, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio. American Journal of Physiology: Heart and Circulatory Physiology 1 November 2007.

Roberta is not known to have physically murdered anyone or committed suicide like her only two medical doctor friends I ever met. Her law, politics, religion and association do need to be prohibited to prevent incitement to violence. Her medical referrals to surgery need to reviewed for curative treatment, she ignores when it is her son she hushes to make kickbacks, and is quite the cog in the medical machine, when she is not being severely punished for any glimmer of truth, not to mention $10 Hippocratic oath treating Stonebreaker (Chanca piedra) to cure gall and urinary stone overnight, that otherwise can only be treated with surgery.

Dr. Regine Moulton, the fellow family practitioner who poisoned her mother to death to get her inheritance, with whom Roberta conspired with to disown me, her son to a state mental hospital. It is somewhat interesting to good medicine that I was punished with institutionalization and disinheritance, after having just recovered from severe mental illness after six months of being exposed to DOM amphetamine. I had been exposed incidental to being located while neglecting to come home broke to be paid by the fee competitive lawyer, to help process my parents divorce, if only my grandparents had guided me right, rather than exit Roberta's court stage left, like SSA is fitting to do.

Dr. Asher Abeliuk, the neurologist who committed suicide, like his mother and grandmother, without the benefit of a diagnosis of Huntington's disease, with whom Roberta conspired to testify that her mother, my grandmother, an early retiring state teacher, due to traumatic brain injury from a recess kickball, was rich and not entitled to either SSI for her caregiver, or her lawyer won, $1,000 a month, pension and was cursed with a $666 a month overpayment decision, she did not survive, when her SSI eligible caregiver, was hospitalized for rattlesnake and black widow bites.

SSA would also seem to need to be charged with misinformation regarding the Disabled Adult Child (DAC) benefits program, but already seems to have removed the erroneous online citation, to the Medicaid at 65 for DAC dream, I do wish to be remembered, as I exhaust the once in a lifetime DAC raises, much less than 50 percent at retirement and 75 percent at death of parents, promised, and want a free medical program compatible with my non-Medicare contributing free gym membership practice, at age 65, by which time I may have settled on a state to die in.

Having delivered the United States Budget Act, I have removed SSA from my email list, like my father and his daughter and her three sisters (Oregon male abortion cult suspicion) before him, who won her sibling rivalry by deleting her brother's subscription rather than the spam that she was panicking about, like our mother's zero damage false cyber-security accusation attack, loosely associated with the US Air Force mom(b) cult, and her ultra-orthodox computer consultant who made charging my Kilolo Kijakazi citation destroyed, last Amazon Apple computer, a switch to flick after a few months of unreliable charging, and limit our communication to human rights cases, such as this DAC benefit claim.

Respectfully,

Anthony J. Sanders
Hospitals & Asylums
title24uscode.org

Social Security Office

6553 Winford Ave.
Hamilton, OH 45011

Supreme Court

1163 State Street
Salem, OR 97301