

In the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

Appeal for Four \$300 a Month Children HA-21-9-21

By Anthony J. Sanders
Hospitals & Asylums

The email address associated with the writer of this case was actively hijacked within two or three days.

After the police twice caught a five year old autistic runaway, the Senior Judge responsible for Child Custody has decided to adopt all four children ages 2 to 9 from a disabled couple denied benefits. Over the course of two years the Senior Judge adopted a 20 day old baby, a four year old and has just taken or is threatening to take the two oldest ages 7 (the 5 year old runaway) and 9. The Senior Judge has a conflict of interest insofar that he taken responsibility for the civil case, but has recused himself from the criminal case under 18USC§201. In other words, the State has kidnapped four children from their innocent parents over the course of two years without first procuring a felony conviction. The parents are innocent because the allegations against them are false. The Senior Judge noted that the child services workers perjured on numerous occasions. It should come as no surprise to the Senior Judge that they should also be lying that the mother assaulted them with a knife.

It is not true that the mother held a knife or any weapon against the kidnapping child services workers servicing the Senior Judge's court order. The mother asked the child services workers to leave the house until the police came. The child services workers refused to leave their home and snickered amongst themselves about the hapless victims of the arbitrary and capricious court order. It is simply wrong for the State to take four children into custody because the police picked up a juvenile runaway on two occasions. It would be right for the police to know to take the young runaway autistic child home to his grateful parents. Enlisting child service workers and Senior Judge was wrong and should be considered abusive to young children in this jurisdiction. Like so many American attorneys the parents are in error regarding wanting a jury trial to convince the Senior Judge of a fact, that is in fact a lie, like just about everything in this felony child kidnapping case under 18USC§1201. Pennsylvania is robbing the novel \$300 tax credit from the parents to ransom foster care §1202. Because the Senior Judge appears to have a conflict of interest, and is unable to place the juvenile children in the custody of their parents, where they rightfully belong according to the 'Convention on the Rights of the Child (1990), the innocent parents want leave to appeal for custody of their children, whether or not the child abducting Senior Judge thinks they are innocent or guilty.

Record checks reveal whether a felony conviction for child abuse or neglect, for spousal abuse, for a crime against children (including child pornography or in this case kidnapping) or for a crime involving violence, including rape, sexual assault, or homicide, but not including other physical assault or battery, if a State finds that a court of competent jurisdiction has determined that the felony was committed at any time, such final approval shall not be granted under Sec. 472 of Title IV-E of the Social Security Act under 42USC§672. Until the parents regain custody, Child service workers must support and facilitate non-custodial parents' access to and visitation of their children, by means of activities including mediation (both voluntary and mandatory), counseling, education, development of parenting plans, visitation enforcement (including monitoring, supervision and neutral drop-off and pickup), and development of guidelines for visitation and alternative custody arrangements under Sec. 469B of the Social Security Act under 42USC§669b.

Furthermore, disability lawyers, both parents are individually entitled to disability insurance benefits, if they find themselves unable to work for more than a year, although they have both been wrongfully denied their disability claims. The often working diabetic mother's disability was terminated because they strangely said she was a successful mother, although that line of reasoning fails to take into consideration the working hour reduction and added expense of raising children, and is unemployed to underemployed, now that the State has taken her babies away, without first procuring any felony conviction but perjury. The father's degenerative spine disease is too crippling for him to search his autistic five year old runaway son, now seven, or be cured of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) by an Epsom salt bath. A disability judge however ruled that he could not get disability benefits unless he got a surgery, that three medical specialists rejected. The father must be cautioned not to touch SSA correspondence whereas disability questionnaires are contaminated nationwide, with a crippling monoclonal antibody, that infects the sacrum or spine (ankylosing spondylitis) with naturally occurring MRSA lesions. He may be due compensation either because the cause of his incurable degenerative spine disease may be exposure to monoclonal antibodies contaminating disability questionnaires or because he has the particular condition caused by SSA's peculiar poison that must be prohibited. Typically, when a lawyer justifies a person's disability claim in writing, SSA prevails against the denial of the abusive local office, pursuant to Sec. 206 of the Social Security Act under 42USC§406.